SHALINI SHUKLA¹ and SHAILENDRA KUMAR TRIPATHI²

1 Department of Business Administration, University of Lucknow, Lucknow 2 Monnet Ispat and Energy Limited, Raigarh

The sustainability concern for the planet has become the need for the day. With the advent of new technologies, developments and increasing standard of living, pressure on the environment for fulfilling the demand over the regeneration capacity is increasing. Life threatening hazards are inevitable and casting shadow on the whole world. Generally poor and developing economies bear the negative consequences as well as alleged for environment alteration. Keeping this view as a pivot, the present study is an attempt to analyze the importance of environmental sustainability in domestic as well as foreign companies' operating in India. The findings highlight that foreign companies operating in India show significant concern towards environmental sustainability and have better performance as compared to their counterpart domestic companies. Furthermore, new technological development is discussed that can be adopted by various Indian companies, which have direct links with the environment alteration like mining and mineral industry. The study presents number of imperatives for professional, business organizations as well as researchers.

Key Words: Companies; India; Mining and mineral industry: Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

Nature can fulfil our needs not avarice demands. Rules of the nature govern everyone living in this world, irrespective of its species and variety (Maheshwari & Ganesh, 2006). However, with the development of culture, society and technology, humans started quelling these rules. Now, this avoidance has brought about the perceptible shift in sustainability and survival. Therefore, environment becomes a most discussed topic in Indian as well as global context. As per the Industrial development and growth of the economy is concerned, many issues have always worried the veterans. Among all these issues, environmental sustainability is gaining momentum at every state, every country and at every region.

India is the second most populous country having 1,243.3 million people with 1,505 US dollar GDP per capita (Global Competitive Index, 2014-15). It is one the important emerging economies in the world (Bhasin 2013). Emergence of any economy at the global map is lead by the development and growth pace of that country where industrial, infrastructural, technological development etc are need of the day. Eventually, this development disproportionally burdens the natural capital, environment and society. Today industries become the integral part of the society on which the building of development stands, simultaneously root cause of environment alteration. Some industries like mining, mineral, energy etc bears the largest



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

proportion of altering the eco-system as well as the society. Sensing the urgency of issue, the developed nations have adopted many guidelines, code of conducts etc for industries and other organisations to minimise the harmful impact of their operations on environment. Although, transitional and under developed economies have still not adopted or taken any serious initiative that can really be fruitful at ground level.

Thus, present paper tries to analyse the difference between domestic and foreign companies operating in India on the basis of concern and importance shown toward their environment and sustainability.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

There is an increasing concern of sustainability in research, academics, business and other realms since last decades. Sustainability is the word that cannot mean in many senses, but in recent time it is basically related to the environment, earth and human life. With the pace of growth, we are lagging behind from the balance between environment and development that ultimately affects our ability to sustain today as well as tomorrow.

Defining Environmental Sustainability-

Sustainability is now becomes the news of the front page. Various researchers have defined and coined the term environmental sustainability. According to the Morrelli (2011), some authors take sustainability "Ecological Sustainability as a Conservation Concept," and describe environment sustainability as "meeting human needs without compromising the health of ecosystems."

As ISC sustainability report defined this term as, "Environment sustainability requires the design and provision of products and services that incorporate and promote waste minimisation and the efficient and effective use and rescue of resources."

In the present study, we have taken the diversified

perspective of the sustainability with ecological, social, economical, technical aspects.

Environmental sustainability in the context of Business-

In today's scenario development is all around linked with industrial growth. Industries are totally dependent on raw material provided by society and environment. Nature has limited capacity of regenerating these resources. With the pace industrial development, utilization of all natural capital is over passing the regeneration capacity of nature. Nature is not able to replenish these resources with such speed and eventually the next generating is coming on the red line and bio diversity of the earth is also in danger.

When we talk about the hazardous impact some industries like mining, mineral, energy etc are in front desk. These industries have strongest ecological as well as social impact in terms of sustainability as well as economic development. So that restriction or banning on these industries can't solve the problem and leave the economical development in lurch. Indeed, research and innovation in field of green technology, green chemistry, green building etc can fuel the development with sustainability.

Need for environmental sustainability-

After the 20th century, we have seen our planet from the space first time with lots of beautiful clouds, gases, greenery, oceans, soil not human and its activities (Morrelli, 2011). Morrelli (2011) further stated that our planetary system is altering because of mismatch between the human activities and its pattern. Therefore, many life threatening hazards are coming with these changes. Every country, every region, every company is in consternation about the issue of sustainability and survival. Climate change, green house effect, soil erosion, water pollution, noise pollution, loss of bio diversity etc are life hazardous impact of ignored sustainability (IEG report on environment sustainability, 2008).



Globally as well domestically economic development with industrial development is always wrecking the balance of environment and sustainability. As far as developing countries are concerned, they are always alleged by developed countries on the matter of environmental alteration. Now, the time has come when strong heed as well as action become need of the day for developing as well as developed countries to change their policies and practices in such a manner that will bolster the competitiveness as well as positive impact on environment.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

With acknowledging the need of sustainability, present study attempts to find out the following research objectives-

- 1) Extent of importance given to environment sustainability by companies.
- Comparison of domestic and foreign companies in term of importance given to environment sustainability.
- Co-relation between financial performance and importance given to environmental sustainability.
- 4) Comparison of domestic and foreign companies in term of financial performance.
- New technologies and innovations in the field of environment sustainability.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Content analyses of annual reports are done to find out the importance given to the environmental sustainability. Non probability purposive sampling has been used for the selection of sample. ETIG data base (2012) has been used to identify the foreign as well Domestic companies. An ET 500 company (2012) (Top companies in India) has been used to get the relevant sample size. On the basis of foreign shareholding patterns (Foreign promoters, foreign institutional investors, foreign venture capital) 51

companies are indentified, whose foreign shareholdings are more than 50 percent that are taken as foreign. And to equalise it 51 Domestic companies also included in sample size irrespective of the industries. So that total sample size for this study is 102 (51 foreign companies + 51 Domestic companies i.e. N=120).

After selection of sample, data is collected through the websites of the respective companies. Annual reports of all companies are downloaded from their official websites. Content analysis method has been used to analyse on the following basis of indicators-

- · Sustainability word used (Categorical variable)
- · Responsible word used (Categorical variable)
- Any initiative other than CSR (Categorical variable)
- Types of sustainability (Categorical variable)-
- Ecological- Plantation, waste management, environment related campaign etc)
- 2) Human- (Charity to vulnerable group, old age help, shelter homes, rehabilitation programs etc)
- 3) Economical (Profitability, business sustainability etc)
- 4) Technical- (Green technology initiative and other technology related programs etc)
- 5) Others/ Many (Natural calamities related programs etc)

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

H01: There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in terms of importance given to environmental sustainability.

 H_01 (a): There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in terms of concern towards sustainability.

 $H_01(b)$: There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in terms of concern towards responsibility of

Amity Business Review

Vol. 15, No. 2, July - December, 2014



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

environmental sustainability.

 $H_01(c)$ There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in terms of initiatives taken for environmental sustainability.

 $H_01(d)$ There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in terms of types of sustainability.

H02 There is no significant difference between the performance of Indian and foreign companies.

 $H_{\nu}2(a)$ There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in term of percentage change in profit after tax.

 $H_02(b)$ There is no significant difference between domestic and foreign companies in term of percentage change in revenue.

DATA ANALYSIS

Collecting, tabulating and performing various test on SPSS data presented as following-

Table 1 (Table 1 about here) indicated that there are total 102 companies (51 foreign companies and 51 Indian companies), in which 86 were form manufacturing sector (37 foreign and 49 Indian) and 16 were (14 foreign and 2 Indian) operating in service sector.

Table 2 (Table 2 about here) depicted that 21.6 percent (11 companies) foreign companies have not used the word sustainability in their annual reports i.e. less than Indian companies, where 37.3 percent (19 companies) were not included such word anywhere in their annual report. In total scenario 29.4 percent companies were not included any sustainability word in their annual reports. Indeed,

Table 1- Types of company * Company is operating in which sector. Cross tabulation						
		Company is operating				
		Manufacturing Sector	Service Sector	Total		
Types of company	Foreign	37	14	51		
	Indian	49	2	51		
Total		86	16	102		

	Table 2- Types of company * Sustainability word used Cross tabulation						
			Sustainability	word used			
			No	Yes	Total		
Types of company	Foreign	Count	11	40	51		
		% within Types of company	21.6%	78.4%	100.0%		
		% within Sustainability word used	36.7%	55.6%	50.0%		
	Indian	Count	19	32	51		
		% within Types of company	37.3%	62.7%	100.0%		
		% within Sustainability word used	63.3%	44.4%	50.0%		
Total	'	Count	30 72		102		
		% within Types of company	29.4%	70.6%	100.0%		
		% within Sustainability word used	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

119



	Table 3 Types of company * Responsible word used Cross tabulation							
			Responsibl	e word used				
			No	Yes	Total			
Types of company	Foreign	Count	1	50	51			
		% within Types of company	2.0%	98.0%	100.0%			
		% within Responsible word used	33.3%	50.5%	50.0%			
	Indian	Count	2	49	51			
		% within Types of company	3.9%	96.1%	100.0%			
		% within Responsible word used	66.7%	49.5%	50.0%			
Total		Count	3	99	102			
		% within Types of company	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%			
		% within Responsible word used	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Table 4 Types of company * Other initiative for environmental sustainability Cross tabulation							
			Other initiative for environmental sustainability				
			No	Yes	Total		
Types of company	Foreign	Count	30	21	51		
		% within Types of company	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%		
		% within Other initiative for environmental sustainability	44.1%	61.8%	50.0%		
	Indian	Count	38	13	51		
		% within Types of company	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%		
		% within Other initiative for environmental sustainability	55.9%	38.2%	50.0%		
Total		Count	68	34	102		
		% within Types of company	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%		
		% within Other initiative for environmental sustainability	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

		Table 5 Types of company *	Types o	f sustainabi	lity Cros	s tabulation			
				Types of sustainability					
			None Ecological Human Economical Technical Many*					Many*	Total
Types of company	Foreign	Count	4	5	15	5	2	20	51
		% within Types of company	7.8%	9.8%	29.4%	9.8%	3.9%	39.2%	100.0%
		% within Types of sustainability	50.0%	26.3%	55.6%	29.4%	66.7%	71.4%	50.0%
	Indian	Count	4	14	12	12	1	8	51
		% within Types of company	7.8%	27.5%	23.5%	23.5%	2.0%	15.7%	100.0%
		% within Types of sustainability	50.0%	73.7%	44.4%	70.6%	33.3%	28.6%	50.0%
Total		Count	8	19	27	17	3	28	102
		% within Types of company	7.8%	18.6%	26.5%	16.7%	2.9%	27.5%	100.0%
		% within Types of sustainability	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(*Many: Mixed of ecological, human, economical, technical and other aspects of sustainability)



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

Table-6 Types o	Table-6 Types of company * Whether company has positive/ negative percentage increased in profit Cross tabulation							
				Whether company has positive/ negative percentage increased in profit				
			Decreased profit	Increased profit	Not increased nor decreased	Total		
Types of company	Foreign	Count	25	25	1	51		
		% within Types of company	49.0%	49.0%	2.0%	100.0%		
		% of Total	24.5%	24.5%	1.0%	50.0%		
	Domestic	Count	34	17	0	51		
		% within Types of company	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%		
		% of Total	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%		
Total		Count	59	42	1	102		
		% within Types of company	57.8%	41.2%	1.0%	100.0%		
		% of Total	57.8%	41.2%	1.0%	100.0%		

Table 7- Ranks							
Types of company		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks			
Percentage change in profit after tax	Foreign	51	59.18	3018.00			
	Domestic	51	43.82	2235.00			
	Total	102					

Table 8- Test Statistics ^a				
	Percentage change in profit after tax			
Mann-Whitney U	909.000			
Wilcoxon W	2235.000			
Z	-2.620			
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.009			
Kolmogorov – Smriow Z	3.800			
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			

a. Grouping Variable: Types of company

Table 9- Types of company * Whether company has increased / decreased percentage change in revenue Cross tabulation								
	Whether company has increased / decreased percentage change in revenue							
			Decreased Revenue	Increased Revenue	Total			
Types of company	Foreign	Count	3	48	51			
		% of Total	2.9%	47.1%	50.0%			
	Indian	Count	8	43	51			
		% of Total	7.8%	42.2%	50.0%			
Total		Count	11	91	102			
		% of Total	10.8%	89.2%	100.0%			

121



Amity Business Review Vol. 15, No. 2, July - December, 2014

Table 10- Ranks						
Types of company		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks		
Percentage change in revenue	Foreign	51	51.05	2603.50		
	Indian	51	51.95	2649.50		
	Total	102				

Table 11- Test Statistics ^a				
	Percentage change in revenue			
Mann-Whitney U	1277.500			
Wilcoxon W	2603.500			
Z	154			
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.878			
a. Grouping Variable: Types of company				

Table 12- Chi-square test for type of companies and environmental sustainability						
Chi-square test	Value	df	Sigma			
Type of the company X Sustainability word used (See Table 13)	2.022a	1	0.042			
Type of the company X Responsibility word used (See Table 14)	.343a	1	0.558			
Type of the company X Other sustainability initiative taken (See Table 15)	2.024a	1	0.043			
Type of the company X Percentage change (increased/ decreased) in profit (See Table 16)	3.897a	2	.143			
Type of the company X Percentage change (increased/ decreased) in revenue (See Table 17)	2.547a	1	.110			
Sustainability word used X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in profit (See Table 18)	3.256a	2	.196			
Responsibility word used X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in profit (See Table 19)	.839a	2	.657			
Other sustainability initiative taken X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in profit (See Table 20)	1.140a	2	.565			
Sustainability word used X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in revenue (See Table 21)	1.528a	1	.216			
Responsibility word used X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in revenue (See Table 22)	1.633a	1	.201			
Other sustainability initiative taken X Percentage change (increase/ decrease) in revenue (See Table 23)	.815a	1	.367			
Industry Sector X Sustainability word used (See Table 24)	1.039a	1	.308			
Industry Sector X Responsibility word used (See Table 25)	.575a	1	.448			
Industry Sector X Other sustainability initiative taken (See Table 26)	.148a	1	.700			

Table 13 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	2.022a	1	.042				
Continuity Correctionb	2.314	1	.128				
Likelihood Ratio	3.050	1	.081				
Fisher's Exact Test				.128	.064		
N of Valid Cases	102						



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

Table 14 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	.343a	1	.558				
Continuity Correctionb	.000	1	1.000				
Likelihood Ratio	.350	1	.554				
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.500		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 15 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	2.024a	1	.043				
Continuity Correctionb	2.162	1	.141				
Likelihood Ratio	2.843	1	.092				
Fisher's Exact Test				.141	.070		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 16 Chi-Square Tests							
Value df Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)							
Pearson Chi-Square	3.897a	2	.143				
Likelihood Ratio	4.298	2	.117				
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.665	1	.056				
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 17 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	2.547a	1	.110				
Continuity Correctionb	1.630	1	.202				
Likelihood Ratio	2.633	1	.105				
Fisher's Exact Test				.200	.100		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 18 Chi-Square Tests							
Value df Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)							
Pearson Chi-Square	3.256a	2	.196				
Likelihood Ratio	3.327	2	.189				
Linear-by-Linear Association	.156	1	.693				
N of Valid Cases	102						



Amity Business Review Vol. 15, No. 2, July - December, 2014

Table 19 Chi-Square Tests							
Value df Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)							
Pearson Chi-Square	.839a	2	.657				
Likelihood Ratio	.850	2	.654				
Linear-by-Linear Association	.640	1	.424				
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 20 Chi-Square Tests							
Value df Asymp. Sig. (2-sided							
Pearson Chi-Square	1.140a	2	.565				
Likelihood Ratio	1.445	2	.485				
Linear-by-Linear Association	.293	1	.588				
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 21 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	1.528a	1	.216				
Continuity Correctionb	.785	1	.376				
Likelihood Ratio	1.426	1	.232				
Fisher's Exact Test				.293	.186		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 22 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	1.633a	1	.201				
Continuity Correctionb	.111	1	.739				
Likelihood Ratio	1.140	1	.286				
Fisher's Exact Test				.292	.292		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 23 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	.815a	1	.367				
Continuity Correctionb	.318	1	.573				
Likelihood Ratio	.782	1	.377				
Fisher's Exact Test				.499	.280		
N of Valid Cases	102						



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

Table 24 Chi-Square Tests							
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	1.039a	1	.308				
Continuity Correctionb	.519	1	.471				
Likelihood Ratio	1.117	1	.291				
Fisher's Exact Test				.383	.241		
N of Valid Cases	102						

Table 25 Chi-Square Tests								
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	.575a	1	.448					
Continuity Correctionb	.000	1	1.000					
Likelihood Ratio	1.041	1	.308					
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.596			
N of Valid Cases	102							

Table 26 Chi-Square Tests								
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	.148a	1	.700					
Continuity Correctionb	.009	1	.923					
Likelihood Ratio	.146	1	.702					
Fisher's Exact Test				.775	.453			
N of Valid Cases	102							

125

70.6 percent of the total companies have been found concerned toward environmental sustainability issues.

Table 3 (Table 3 about here) is all about the responsibility word used in their annual reports. 98 percent (50 companies) of foreign companies have used responsibility word compare to their counterpart i.e. 96.1 percent (49 companies). On the flip side, 2 percent of foreign companies with 3.9 percent of Indian do not use the word responsibility in their annual reports.

Table 4 (Table 4 about here) depicted the status of initiative taken by companies regarding the sustainability other than CSR. 41.2 percent of foreign companies take some initiative for maintaining the sustainability of the environment. On the other hand only 25.5 percent of the Indian companies fall in this category.

Discussing about the types of initiative taken by companies on environmental sustainability table 5 (Table 5 about here) clearly shows that maximum numbers fall in the ecological and many categories. Maximum companies considered every aspect of sustainability rather than only one side of the coin. This distribution also shows that foreign firms used mix of various approach simultaneously for environmental sustainability compare to domestic companies.



Amity Business Review

Vol. 15, No. 2, July - December, 2014

126

Table 6 (Table 6 to 8 about here) clearly depicted that 49.0 percent foreign companies have increased percentage change in profit compare to domestic companies i.e. 33 percent. On the flip side only 49.0 percent foreign companies and 66.7 percent domestic companies have decreased percent change in profit after tax. This table indicate the better performance of the foreign companies over domestic companies but to check the significance level of this result further analysis is required. Data is not normally distributed i.e. why we have performed Mann-Whitney U test for analysing that is difference is significant or not. Mean rank for foreign and domestic companies i.e. 59.18 and 43.82 and test value (U-909.00, Sig value-.000) in table 6.8 (Table 6.8 about here) clearly shows that null hypothesis will be rejected. The difference between domestic and foreign companies in term of percentage change in profit is significant.

Table 9 (Table 9 to 11 about here) shows that 47.1 percent of foreign companies and 42.2 percent of domestic companies have increased percentage change in the revenue. Present distribution does not difference vastly, even mean ranks i.e. 51.05 for foreign companies and 51.95 for domestic companies also shows that there is no significant difference were exist between two. Test statistics (U-1277.5, Sig. value-.878) confirm that null hypothesis will not be rejected and there is no significant difference exists between domestic and foreign companies in terms of percentage change in revenue.

Table 12 (Table 12 about here) is all about the impact of types of companies (foreign and domestic companies), Industry sector (Manufacturing and service sector), financial performance (percentage change in profit and revenue) on the importance and concern given to environmental sustainability. All significant value clearly indicate that null hypothesis will not be rejected and there is no impact of sector, financial performance and has found on concern and importance towards environmental sustainability initiatives of the companies operating in India except two variables these are, sustainability word used and sustainability initiative other than CSR. Null hypothesis is rejected in case of these variables and it can be stated that there is significant difference exist between domestic and foreign companies in term of sustainability word used in their annual report and initiative taken to secure the environmental sustainability. Foreign companies get better position compare to domestic companies (Table 13 to 26 about here).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Interpretation of the above data and the qualitative study of various managers from mining and mineral industries reveal the following results-

Domestic and foreign companies and importance of environmental sustainability-

Table 2 to 4 is all about the comparison of domestic and foreign companies for sustainability, responsibility words used and sustainability initiative other than CSR has been taken. Tables bring forth the finding that foreign companies are in better position compare to domestic companies. Foreign companies are more oriented towards the sustainability of the environment as they take much initiative other than CSR and have integrated the concept of sustainability in their competitive strategy and technological philosophy.

Domestic and foreign companies and types of initiative for environmental sustainabilitu-

When we talk about the types of initiative for environmental sustainability by companies, it was found that many companies especially foreign companies were concerned about social, economical, technical and ecological aspect of sustainability rather than focusing only on one side of the coin. After this category 'Ecological' aspect of the sustainability has found maximum number and domestic as well as foreign both types of companies



Environmental Sustainability: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Foreign Companies

shows much concern towards this.

Financial performance of domestic and foreign companies-

Percentage change in profit after tax, percentage change in revenue has been taken as indicator of the organisational performance for nullifying the effect of industry type and size as extraneous variables. Tables 6 to 11 indicate that foreign firm have better performance compare to domestic firms in terms of percentage change in profit but no significant difference has been found in percentage change in revenue. Although seeing the percentage figure it can be analyse that foreign companies have little better performance compare to domestic companies.

Impact of sector, types of company, financial performance on environmental sustainability-

Types of companies variable has shown significant relation with sustainability word used and other sustainability initiatives taken. Result clearly state that foreign companies takes more initiatives to secure their environment in which they are operating compare to domestic companies. Even domestic companies less used the word sustainability in their annual report compare to foreign companies. This finding support the fact that developing countries are more concern towards the efficiency and production compare to developed nations. Therefore, companies from transitional economies are less oriented towards securing the environment for negative impact of development.

Technological development for environmental sustainability-

Technology is the application of knowledge for practical purpose. It is most difficult challenge to overcome due to complexity and incompatibility (Prakash et al. 2013) Interviewing the various managers from different industries (Energy, mining, mineral industries etc) it was found that the various reasons that are responsible for low level of concern

and initiative by domestic companies towards sustainability is because of the following reasons-

- i) Lack of stringent norms related to sustainability.
- ii) Less concern on sustainability in efficiency oriented countries.
- iii) Faulty implementation of laws and regulations.
- iv) Corruption

Although in the present study low level of concern is also because of less voluntary disclosure practices by companies regarding environmental sustainability in annual reports. Indeed, there are some technological developments that can be adopted by companies for better environmental protection-

- Photovoltaics
- Wind turbins
- · Bio reactors
- · Bio filtration
- · Bio reactor
- Bio remediation
- Desalination
- · Doubly fed electric machine
- Wave Energy
- Hydroelectricity
- Hydrogen fuel cell
- Ocean thermal energy conversion
- Thermal depolymerisation
- Composting toilet
- Pyrolysis

CONCLUSION

India is the land of resources. Nature has bestowed this country with every type of rich and valuable resources for satisfactory and convivial living. Hence, it becomes mandatory for this generation to protect these resources with optimum utilisation so that upcoming generations would not strive for their



very survival. Industries and business organisations are the integral part of today's economy but their survival and growth is also not possible without society and human beings. Indeed, it is impossible to think these creatures without the patronage of nature. Therefore, present study is an appeal to Indian as well as foreign business organisation operating in India for unanimous and voluntary initiative to protect our environment in which we are living and surviving.

REFERENCES

Research Papers

Bhasin Madan Lal, (2013). Corporate governance and role of forensic accountant: an exploratory study of an Asian country. Amity Business Review, 14(1), 48-71.

Goodland Robert, (1995). The concept of environmental sustainability. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematic, 6, 1-24.

Hilson, G., (2000). Barrier to implementing cleaner technology and cleaner production (CP) practices in mining industry: A case study of Americas. Mineral Engineering, 13(7), 699-717.

IEG World Bank Report (2008). Environmental Sustainability: An evaluation of World Bank group. (Available at www.worldbank.org (Oct 23, 2014)

ISC (Industry Skill Councils) report. Environmental Sustainability: An Industry Response.

Maheshwari, Kumar Sunil and Ganesh M.P., (2006). Ethics in organisations: the case of TATA steel. Vikalp, 31(2), 75-87.

Morelli John, (2011). Environmental Sustainability: A definition for environmental professional. Journal of Environmental sustainability, 1, 19-27.

Prakash Niraj, Saini A.K., & Jaiswal, (2013). Impact of enterprise application on public value in the context of an urban local body (ULB). Amity Business Review, 14(1), 14-26.

Sutton Philip, (2004). A Perspective on environmental sustainability? (Available at http://www.green-innovations.asn.au/ (Oct 23, 2014).

Veiga, Marcello M., Scoble, Malcolm., Mcallister, Mary Louise., (2001). Mining with community. Natural Resource Forum (25), 191-202

Vlek Charles and Steg Linda (2007). Human Behaviour and Environmental Sustainability: Problems, driving force and research topics. Journal of Social Issues, 63(1), 1-19.

Zadak, Simon., Mcgillivray Alex., (2008), Responsible Competitiveness: Making Sustainability count in Global Markets. Harvard International Review, 72-77.

Internet resources

www.green-technology .org/what.htm (Accessed on Oct 30, 2014)

 $http://www.weforum.org/pdf/GCR08/GCR08.pdf \ \, (Accessed on Sept 9, 2014)$

BRIEF PROFILE OF THE AUTHORS

Shalini Shukla is senior research fellow in strategic HR area at University of Lucknow. She is pursuing her Ph.D. on strategy- HR alignment in MNC's from the Department of Business Administration, University of Lucknow. She has done her MBA from UP technical University. She has one year teaching experience and 3 years research experience in the management field. Her research paper has been published in RVIM journal, Elsevier journal, BVIMSR's journal of management research and other edited books. Her research interests are in the area of strategic-HR alignment, Content analyses, performance measurement

S.K Tripathi is assistant manager at Monnet Ispat and Energy Ltd. He is pursuing his MBA from Symbiosis centre for distance learning, Pune. He has completed his M. Tech (Mineral Engineering) from ISM, Dhanbad. He has 5 year corporate experience in the field of mining, mineral, coalwashery and marketing. His research interests are in the area of environment sustainability, mining and mineral industry and human resource.



128